



MEETING MINUTES
Park City School District Education Master Plan
Community Forum
April 30, 2019
6:00 – 8:00 PM

| # | Description | Action |
|---|--|--------|
| 1 | <p>Presentation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introductions and Opening by Bubba Brown 2. Presented high level overview of the options under consideration based on Grade Alignment as the initial decision <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Option A: K-5, 6-8 b. Option B: K-6, 7/8 c. Option C: K-8 d. Option D: K-4, 5/6, 7/8 e. Consensus item <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. 9th grade with High School 3. Reviewed scoring 4. Reviewed more detailed information about each option and noted all pros and cons as represented on the attached handout <p>Introductions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Panelists <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Todd Hauber b. Andrew Caplan c. Dr. Jill Gildea d. Christine Richman e. Jo Ann Funseth f. Nann Worrel | |
| 2 | <p>Question and Answer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Guidelines <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The meeting is being recorded b. State your name c. Be respectful of time d. Speak once with the option to make additional comments after everyone else has spoken 2. How long will the implementation for each option be? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The planning horizon is 10 years so all options look at accommodating growth through 2027 b. There is a relationship between cost and time c. Building new schools will take longer than additions and remodels as a gauge <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. For example, A will have a shorter timeframe than C d. The phasing will be completed as part of the next steps. e. There are a lot of variables so you can't assign a single time frame to any one option f. Would ideally like to minimize disruption to students g. Funding methods will also impact time h. PCSD could use capital funds to start some of the work i. Going for a bond will take time j. Funding could happen between now and 2020 k. Construction could start as early as this summer or later depending on the community's desire and consensus l. C would be the most time consuming of the options presented | |



| | |
|---|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Based on experience how long does it take to do the additions vs. a new school<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. This depends on phasing and size of additions but a new school (with a Middle School example) would be approximately 3 years vs. an addition in 2 years or lessb. Need to know some of the decisions like location and alignment before the phasing can be defined and that is an important component of timelinec. Need the community to express preferences to help prune down the options which would allow more information to be developed around the preferred approaches4. How does an additional transition affect students?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Two-year grade span isn't preferableb. It doesn't allow the administration to get to know the studentsc. New site, building, teachers and schedules are a transition5. Comment: Would like to see the High School started6. At what point can we agree on the high school 9-12 and start construction<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. The community consensus is that High School is 9-12 per the past meetingsb. There are options for the High School approach: 1 high school, 2 high schools or 1 comprehensive and one small specialty High School (6-12)c. Questions that could impact this discussion<ol style="list-style-type: none">i. Does it make sense to keep the High School in this location?ii. How open are we to non-traditional learning including extended calendars, times and type of learningiii. How large do we want the high school to be?7. What is the timeline?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Wanted to hear the feedback tonight to craft a survey that will be released between now and May 21stb. On May 21st we will look for concurrence on directionc. Between May 21st and June's Board Meeting will be crucial time for feedback as welld. The calendar survey response are coming in<ol style="list-style-type: none">i. Traditional calendars are seemingly preferrede. Want to understand the community preferences before proceeding with the next steps of the plan including phasingf. Will be helpful in encouraging engagement.8. Comment: Having an end date may invigorate people9. Do you have a layout for the approach to High School?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Assumption is 9-12 High Schoolb. Could be expanded PCHSc. Could be two High Schoolsd. Could include a small specialty high school10. Comment: Want to know when this will proceed to make sure that upgrades (fields as an example) will benefit the future work and are correctly located<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. It is premature to state there is an addition and equally premature to state where it would be locatedb. There have been past plans that do use that spacec. Location of the fields can be discussed within the process and there are possible school partnershipsd. Needs to be further discussed with city, county, and Basin Recreation.11. Why is there not a two middle school option for B?<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. 7/8 school would only be 909 students so the comprehensive experience would be limited and the school populations of two locations would be very small12. Has there been a discussion of traffic implications of the Kearns Campus? | |
|---|--|



| | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. The City is very involved in that conversation and is working with NEPA to look at options coming in on 248.b. UDOT and the County are also involvedc. The City has been studying this and is expecting information back from UDOT soon <p>13. Could you discuss the weighted scoring and preference of the Steering Committee? Why did some options score low?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. SC was broken into task forces and this scoring was based on the criteria from the grade alignment task forceb. The SC has not actually stated a preference for any option <p>14. What are the pros and cons of one vs. two schools?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Used the K-5 and 6-8 exampleb. One 6-8 gets students together sooner to see a variety of learnersc. You can have a lot of student choicesd. You can develop communities within the schoolse. Two schools limits offerings, exposure to other students, equity and diversityf. There are social and academic parts to the discussiong. One building could also be more feasible or fiscally responsible <p>15. Comment: Parents of students not in school need to be engaged more</p> <p>16. From a data and outcome perspective which is the best approach?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. There isn't really a best way and any way can workb. The important part is to develop good relationships and support for all students with parents, teachers and administratorsc. There is data on many alignments and information about all levelsd. All approaches have pros and conse. Students will be fine in any of these approachesf. What the community wants will drive the decisionsg. Retaining good teachers is the best way to provide a quality education <p>17. Information about cohort size and transition strategy will be helpful.</p> <p>18. Comment: Cost should not be the only driver if there is a better approach</p> <p>19. Does class and school size matter?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Regardless of studies and data the community values are sometimes differentb. Community wants small classesc. Community wants certain programsd. Those decisions drive quality educatione. There will be additional deliverables that speak to this question: Education Specificationsf. The research on quality education will be part of the Ed Spec and Master Plan and define features of classrooms and requirements for the buildingsg. The district maintains standards that are codified already or in this processh. This problem is complex and multi-dimensionali. This process has included higher level discussions up front about quality education and this process has beenj. The task forces have feed data in to the work as well <p>20. Have you considered removing Treasure and making that a STEM center for the High School? This may be well received and keep buildings off the north 40 and the football field</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. We are thinking about all these optionsb. How progressive do we want to be?c. Currently in the process of squaring away big issues before anything else. <p>21. Comment: Want a deadline for the end of this process</p> <p>22. Comment Long distance busing as a con depends on where you live and "long" distance may be an exaggeration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. This is based on feedback from the Latino community | |
|--|--|



| | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">b. Traffic is also a factor for time on the bus23. Comment: Two High Schools is a bad idea that would compromise programming, sports, etc.24. Comment: Want Treasure to be torn down25. Comment: Does not address which elementary schools would be combined.26. Are we learning from and factoring in past plans?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Yes, all past work is being consideredb. Information that is presented to you is coming from participants in the process and community members27. When will you stop taking input?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. We never willb. We don't have a deadline we are trying to meetc. Would like to proceed with something this yeard. Hearing the urgency but need to make sure the community's will is behind the worke. The process has been different and goodf. There may be disagreements around outcomes but the process has been thorough28. Comment: Would propose reuse of Treasure as a negative29. Comment: McPolin could be a STEM high school30. Comment: Could move elementary school to Treasure site31. Comment: Pros and Cons do not represent that 6th grade is elementary school curriculum and certification32. Comment: No magic solution so the approach should be dependent on the teachers33. Size of classrooms and schools are very important, where are the numbers for future enrollment coming from?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. A demographic study was completed last Spring with varying models with estimate rangeb. This does represent future growth34. What the current predictions with Treasure?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Most likely will become something newb. Ideally want schools close together to foster community.c. Wrap up as much as we can by the end of the school year.35. What does Dr. Gildea think about this?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Community defines the what and Superintendent provides the howb. Took all options into considerationc. Considering which options is most feasible, reasonable, fiscally responsible and least disruptived. Wants to know the big picture approach and phase the worke. Wants smooth experience for students with minimal transitions and belonging in schoolsf. Option A may be the closestg. 6th grade may feel ready for new thingsh. Option B is less preferable from personal experiencei. Option C seems less reasonable and isolating (difficult the blend and off variety of programs)j. D may push open enrollment and transitions but getting the kids together early is importantk. Doesn't want segregated schools36. What does the relative cost represent in dollars?<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. There is no dollar cost behind them currently, they are relative to each otherb. Need to consider phasing as a part of the creation of space for different grades at different locations37. Community wants to get started. What do we need to do to move forward with a positive outcome quickly? | |
|---|--|



THE FUTURE OF LEARNING

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Encourage people to participate in the survey and give as much feedback as possible toward consensusb. Engage in the next weeksc. Networking and talking with neighbors to get to a consensus | |
| 3 | <p>Next steps and Action items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1. Reach out to your friends and neighbors to increase involvement.2. Complete the upcoming survey | |